

Long Range Magnetic Order and the Darwin Lagrangian

Vishal Mehra and Jayme De Luca

*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de São Carlos,
Rod. Washington Luiz km 235, 13565-905, Caixa Postal 676 , São Carlos, SP,
Brazil*

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We simulate a finite system of N confined electrons with inclusion of the Darwin magnetic interaction in two- and three-dimensions. The lowest energy states are located using the steepest descent quenching adapted for velocity dependent potentials. Below a critical density the ground state is a static Wigner lattice. For supercritical density the ground state has a non-zero kinetic energy. The critical density decreases with N for exponential confinement but not for harmonic confinement. The lowest energy state also depends on the confinement and dimension: an antiferromagnetic cluster forms for harmonic confinement in two dimensions.

PACS numbers: 05.70.Fh, 41.20.-q, 64.70.-p, 36.40.-c

I. INTRODUCTION

The use of fast modern computers has made it increasingly easy to investigate many-dimensional finite systems and to study dynamical quantities like time to equipartition, symmetry break in finite systems and quantities of thermodynamic interest [1–6]. The numerical studies have also revealed unexpected features of finite systems like cluster formation [3–5] and lack of equipartition [1,2]. In this paper we study numerically the energetic quenching of a classical electron gas with inclusion of the magnetic interaction [7–9]. The main motivation to study this system is the physics it describes and unfortunately the dynamics of this finite system is not easy to study because the equations of motion are algebraic-differential in character [10]. Because of this we explored numerically only the quenching motion, which involves integration of simple ordinary differential equations. Like the Coulomb interaction, the magnetic interaction is a long-range interaction. The long range nature of the Coulomb interaction has been widely explored in molecular dynamics and there is a large literature of numerical simulations and various special techniques were invented to deal with the long range nature of the interaction [11]. On the other hand, the magnetic interaction has been much less studied.

The magnetic interaction appears naturally in classical Maxwell electrodynamics: The lowest-order retardation and magnetic effects (or order $(v/c)^2$) can be described in terms of electron variables only as a velocity-dependent interaction. This approximation was originally proposed by Darwin [12] to obtain a lagrangian which bears his name. The Darwin lagrangian is much-used in atomic physics [13,14] where it is known as Darwin-Breit interaction in its quantised form. The Darwin lagrangian includes the lowest order correction to the electric field of a moving charge and the lowest order magnetic field (the Biot-Savart term). Apart from its traditional domain of atomic physics the Darwin lagrangian has been used to model slightly relativistic plasmas [15–17] and even models of superconductivity and stellar magnetic fields [7]. These ideas extend the range of Darwin lagrangian from conventional relativistic correction: the Darwin corrections are also important in the low-

energy (nonrelativistic) regime. One reason for that is to be found in the long-rangedness of the Darwin force and the fact that it is badly screened, unlike the Debye screening of the Coulomb force [18]. These two factors compensate for the weakness of the Darwin interaction at low velocities and make it a player in certain circumstances [7,8]. The Darwin lagrangian has also been used as an unfolding of the scale invariant degenerate Coulombian interaction to estimate long-time-scale dynamical effects in atomic physics [19]. Last, the Darwin lagrangian represents the first correction to the Coulomb interaction lagrangian in a series expansion of the Tetrode-Fokker lagrangian of the Wheeler-Feynman action at a distance theory. This relativistic lagrangian theory has been shown to have interesting magnetic consequences [20] that deserve further study.

Experimentally, aggregates of electrons with the same sign of charge can be confined for long times using suitably chosen static electric and magnetic fields and form so-called nonneutral plasmas (For a recent review see [21]). Differently from neutral plasmas (i.e. composed of electrons with both sign of charge in approximately equal numbers), the non-neutral plasmas can attain thermal equilibrium and cooled to low enough temperatures to form liquid and crystal-like states. These plasmas under various confinement geometries have been extensively studied, particularly in the last decade. Generally the interelectron interaction is taken to be Coulombic or Yukawa.

The study of the possible low-energy states of a system may shed some light on the possibility of a phase transition. We chose to study the magnetic long-range effects in a confined electron gas and in the neighborhood of its lowest energy state. We confine a system of charged electrons in two and three dimensions by use of a background field, taken either to be of a harmonic or exponential form. The electrons interact by Coulomb plus Darwin forces. For the purely Coulombian repulsion, it is known that the lowest energy state of this system is a Wigner lattice (triangular-like in two dimensions), which we also find with our quenching techniques [22,23]. We show that the long range effects depend on N and on a single parameter β , which is usually of the order of $10^{-1} - 10^{-3}$ for attainable physical densities. We find that low- N systems need an artificially larger value of β for the

long-range effects to be important. The assumption is that the critical value goes down with N , and because of the practical impossibility of simulating systems with millions of electrons, we investigate finite systems for an artificially higher value of β and extrapolate the scaling properties to the large- N case. This assumption holds for the exponential confinement but not for the harmonic confinement, where the critical β does not go down with N .

This paper is divided as follows. The next section introduces the model and defines the quenching procedure. The numerical results for the 2-D systems are described in the section 3; two subsections correspond to types of confining potential. The section 4 deals with the 3-D systems, again divided into subsections. The papers ends with a discussion in section 5.

II. DARWIN LAGRANGIAN AND THE NATURAL QUENCHING

We consider N electrons in two or three dimensions interacting via the Coulomb repulsion plus the velocity-dependent Darwin magnetic interaction [9,12] and confined by a one-electron potential $V_C(\vec{r})$ of the positive background. The lagrangian for this system can be written as

$$L = \frac{m}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{v}_i^2 - e^2 \sum_{i<j}^N \frac{1}{r_{ij}} + e^2 \sum_{i<j}^N \frac{\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j + (\vec{v}_i \cdot \hat{e}_{ij})(\vec{v}_j \cdot \hat{e}_{ij})}{2c^2 r_{ij}} - \sum_i V_C(\vec{r}_i), \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{r}_i$ and $\vec{v}_i$ are the position and the velocity of the i th electron and $\vec{r}_{ij} \equiv \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j$, $r_{ij} \equiv |\vec{r}_{ij}|$, $\hat{e}_{ij} \equiv \vec{r}_{ij}/r_{ij}$ is the unit vector pointing from the i th to the j th electron, e is the electronic charge, and c is the velocity of light. The first term is the kinetic energy E_K of the system and the second term is the Coulomb energy. The next term is the Darwin V_D which can be expressed in terms of the vector potential $\vec{A}$ as

$$V_D = -\frac{e}{2c} \sum_i \vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{A}_i, \quad (2)$$

with

$$\vec{A}_i(r, v) \equiv e \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\vec{v}_j + \hat{e}_{ij}(\vec{v}_j \cdot \hat{e}_{ij})}{2cr_{ij}}. \quad (3)$$

As the lagrangian is time-independent, there is an associated energy constant which evaluates to [7]

$$E = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m \vec{v}_i^2 + \frac{e}{2c} \vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{A}_i(r, v) + \sum_{i < j} \frac{e^2}{r_{ij}} + \sum_i V_C(\vec{r}_i). \quad (4)$$

Notice that this energy is *not* of the minimal coupling type

$$E = \sum \frac{1}{2} (p_i - A_i)^2 + V, \quad (5)$$

which is only the case when the magnetic field is external to the system, and consequently the vector potential is velocity independent. In the present situation, because of the internal fields, the state of minimal energy is not always the zero velocity case anymore, as the conditions for the Bohr-Van Laufen theorem are not satisfied [9,18,24] (This theorem states that a velocity independent vector potential does not affect the partition function *if* the energy is of the minimal coupling form (5)).

The form of the equations can be simplified by using scaled units: a length scale, given by the average interelectron separation R , scales positions as $\vec{x} \rightarrow R\vec{x}$ (in this units the gas has a density equal to one). Time is scaled as $dt \rightarrow \omega_0 d\tau$ where $\omega_0^2 \equiv e^2/mR^3$. In these units the energy scales as $E \rightarrow m(\omega_0 R)^2 \hat{E}$ with

$$\hat{E} = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \vec{v}_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} + \beta^2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j + (\vec{v}_i \cdot \hat{e}_{ij})(\vec{v}_j \cdot \hat{e}_{ij})}{2r_{ij}} + \sum_i \hat{V}_C(\vec{r}_i). \quad (6)$$

The parameter β^2 in the above equation is defined as

$$\beta^2 \equiv \frac{r_e}{R}, \quad (7)$$

where $r_e = e^2/mc^2$ is the classical electronic radius and the interelectron distance R in 2-D is given by $R = 1/\sqrt{n}$ and $R = n^{-1/3}$ in 3-D. For some real physical situations: The conduction band in metals forms a 3-D degenerate plasma with typical densities of $n \sim 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, which gives for β^2 the value of $\beta^2 \sim 10^{-6}$. The highest density physical plasma is found in the interior of white dwarf stars, corresponding to an electron density of $n \sim 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ which gives $\beta^2 \sim 10^{-3}$ [25].

Once the systems studied here are rotationally invariant, Noether's theorem determines a constant of motion [29] for them

$$C \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{r}_i \times \vec{v}_i + \beta^2 \sum_{i<j}^N \frac{\vec{r}_i \times \vec{v}_j + (\vec{r}_i \times \hat{e}_{ij})(\vec{v}_j \cdot \hat{e}_{ij})}{2r_{ij}}, \quad (8)$$

with $\hat{e}_{ij} \equiv \vec{r}_{ij}/r_{ij}$, as before. For 2-D this constant is a vector perpendicular to the plane and for 3-D the $O(3)$ symmetry determines a constant vector by the above formula. This constant can be interpreted simply as the sum of the mechanical angular momenta plus the field angular momentum.

To look for the minimum energy states of the velocity dependent N -body system, we adapt a numerical procedure analogous to the steepest descent quenching using what we name the natural quenching vector field. We check that for potential systems this procedure produces the static crystalline arrangement of electrons known as the Wigner lattice [22,23]. We now define the natural quenching vector field, which is constructed from the differential of the expression for the energy constant given by equation (6). We start from a random initial condition and integrate it as a function of a “quenching parameter” by the following gradient equations:

$$\frac{d\vec{r}_i}{ds} = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial \vec{r}_i}, \quad \frac{d\vec{v}_i}{ds} = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial \vec{v}_i}. \quad (9)$$

It is easy to see that along this gradient motion the energy always decreases, as the parameter derivative of the energy evaluates to

$$\frac{dE}{ds} = -\sum_i \left| \frac{\partial E}{\partial \vec{r}_i} \right|^2 - \sum_i \left| \frac{\partial E}{\partial \vec{v}_i} \right|^2. \quad (10)$$

Along with the numerical quenching from random initial conditions it is necessary to use the relativistic form of the kinetic energy. Otherwise, we observe that some electrons acquire an enormous kinetic energy during quenching, creating an enormous nonphysical internal field that still decreases the total energy. Of course, for such large velocities the Darwin approximation breaks down and the whole lagrangian describes nonphysical effects, as discussed in reference [26]. In all our numerical experiments we check that the electron

energies were never relativistic in the final quenched state, which guarantees that the Darwin approximation is valid. Last, to gain some understanding of how the above quenching procedure can find states with nonzero velocity, let us examine equation (9) for the velocities, which read as

$$\frac{d\vec{v}_i}{ds} = -\vec{v}_i - \beta^2 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\vec{v}_j + \hat{e}_{ij}(\vec{v}_j \cdot \hat{e}_{ij})}{2r_{ij}}. \quad (11)$$

Notice that on the right hand side we have a linear function of the velocities, defining a linear matrix $M(\vec{r}_i, \beta^2)$. For $\beta^2 = 0$, this matrix is minus the identity and the velocities are all quenched down to zero. Above a critical value of β^2 , this matrix can have negative and zero eigenvalues, and it is not possible to quench the velocities to zero anymore, which is the cause of the nonzero velocity states we find. The critical point β_c^2 can also be located by an alternative analytical method: Consider equation (11) for the velocity-quenching. For $\beta^2 = 0$, the eigenvalues of M are all degenerate and equal to one. Taking the electron coordinates to be those of the static Wigner lattice (which can be obtained for $\beta^2 = 0$), one can diagonalize M numerically and find all its eigenvalues. The critical β_c^2 is that for which the minimum eigenvalue of M crosses zero i.e. the minimum eigenvalue of M is just negative. It can be seen then that in this case the quenching will decrease the energy *while* increasing the velocities along the negative eigenvector directions. We have diagonalized M in the neighborhood of the Wigner lattice and it is satisfactory that the critical β^2 calculated by the matrix method agrees with the values obtained by quenching.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR CIRCULAR DISK GEOMETRY

A. Harmonic confinement

We consider first a system of N electrons in 2-D, confined by the field of a uniformly charged circular disk of positive charges, of radius R_d scaled units, and with the electronic density of one electron per squared scaled unit ($N = \pi R_d^2$). For this system the potential

of the uniformly charged disk of positive background can be calculated analytically [28] and for $r < R_d$ it is approximated by

$$\hat{V}_C(r) = -2\sqrt{(\pi N)} + \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2N^{1/2}}r^2. \quad (12)$$

We have explicitly included the negative constant to properly account for the electrostatic interaction with the positive background. One still needs to add the self-energy of the positive background $\sqrt{\pi}N^{3/2}/8$ to expression (6) in order to get the total electrostatic energy. We seek to determine the lowest-energy states of this system by employing the natural quenching technique described above, and we integrate equations (9) numerically with an 6/7 Runge-Kutta embedded integrator pair. By quenching from different initial conditions we can hope to obtain insight into the character of the ground state. The natural quenching is performed for the disk system for various values of the parameter β^2 . The electrons are started from a triangular lattice, distorted slightly in a random manner, with velocities uniformly (and randomly) distributed upto a certain maximum value. A square lattice type initial configuration is also used and the same final result is found. The system is quenched until a steady state appears to have been reached. To check if we actually attain a global minimum state and not merely a local minimum, we slightly heat the obtained configuration and quench it again. By these means we are confident that our ground states are at least qualitatively correct.

These simulations are done for 225 to 1600 electrons in the disk. In all cases it is observed that below a certain value of the parameter β^2 the ground state is the static Wigner lattice, independent of the β^2 . But above the critical β_c^2 , a new type of ground state is obtained. This state has nonzero kinetic energy with a striking nonuniform distribution of velocities. The electrons with large velocities are confined to an antiferromagnetic cluster in the center of the disk. The configuration in the position space remains visibly triangular-like. The electrons in the central cluster have velocities aligned in a manner to minimize the Darwin energy (Fig.1). This parallel and antiparallel orientation of the velocities succeeds in lowering the energy of the nonstatic configuration below the static Wigner lattice.

The critical parameter value β_c^2 decreases with the increasing N , the number of electrons in the disk, but it is rather a weak dependence. For the 225 electrons disk, $\beta_c^2 \approx 0.72$ while it is approximately 0.71 for the 1600 electrons disk. The relative size of the cluster slowly decreases with increasing N : we quantify it as following. A electron i *belongs* to the cluster if $\beta^2 v_i^2 > 0.01$. The quantity $\beta^2 v^2$ is generally about 0.06 for the fastest electron. At $\beta^2 = 0.75$, this criterion yields the fraction of the cluster electrons to be 0.31 for the 225 electron system and monotonously decreasing to 0.26 for $N = 900$ and to 0.23 for $N = 1600$. However it appears that the cluster remains equally hot, independent of N , i.e., the average kinetic energy per cluster electron does not depend on N at constant β^2 . Further increasing the value of β^2 beyond β_c^2 causes rapid increase both in the size and the temperature of the cluster. The ground-state energy continues to decrease as β^2 is increased beyond β_c^2 (Fig. 2).

The quenching runs do not always yield the ground state. Often, in particular for larger sized disks, an imperfectly aligned higher energy state is obtained. The local order is of the same type as the true ground state but on a larger scale two or more regions of the local order have an mismatch, analogous to grain-boundaries in a polycrystalline material (Fig. 3).

B. Exponential confinement

The effect of choice of the confining potential may be studied by considering a different potential. To this end, we replace the harmonic potential by an exponential potential,

$$V_C = V_0 \exp((r - R_d)/r_W).$$

Here, as before $R_d = \sqrt{(N/\pi)}$ and $r_W = 0.5$ in scaled units. The quenchings are performed in a manner identical to that described above, starting from a randomly distorted triangular lattice. The critical β_c^2 is obtained, separating the static and non-static ground-states. The values of β_c^2 are rather lower and they *decrease* appreciably with increasing N . We find

$\beta_c^2 \approx 0.52$ for $N = 225$ to about 0.42 for $N = 1600$. These (and the intermediate $N = 484$ and $N = 900$) values may be fitted to a power-law $\beta_c^2 \sim N^\alpha$ with $\alpha \approx -0.11$.

The character of the ground-states is also affected. The edge (i.e. the surface) of the static lattice is no longer triangular-like but is composed of two ring-like layers. Above β_c^2 the velocity distribution is highly inhomogeneous: the kinetic energy is concentrated in the two edge layers (Fig. 4). Increasing β^2 beyond β_c^2 does not result in more electrons acquiring kinetic energy but merely increases kinetic energy of the edge electrons.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR SPHERICAL GEOMETRY

A. Harmonic confinement

In this section we consider N electrons confined by the field of a homogeneous positively charged sphere of radius R_d . The potential (for $r < R_d$) can be calculated exactly,

$$V_C = -2\pi R_d^2 + \frac{2\pi}{3}r^2,$$

which follows immediately from Gauss law of electrostatics. To obtain the total electrostatic energy we must again add the self-energy contribution of the background $\frac{\pi}{5}NR_d^2$ to the expression (6). As before, the electron density is taken to be one electron per scaled unit. Quenches are performed for $N=216$ -1000 electron systems. Simulations are started from a randomly distorted cubic lattice, while the velocities are initialized in the manner previously described for the disk geometry. As in the disk geometry, a critical β^2 separates static ground states from the nonstatic ground states. The critical β_c^2 is slightly smaller in three dimensions— it varies from ≈ 0.67 for $N = 216$ to about 0.66 for $N = 1000$.

But the character of the ground states is very different from that obtained for the disk geometry. The electrons are arranged in a multiple ring-like structure around the center of the ball. These rings possess sharp boundaries and their number grows with N . For example, the 216 electrons system has 4 such rings (including the cluster of central electrons) while 7 rings are visible for $N = 1000$ system.

This ring-like structure persists beyond β_c^2 . Though, the velocity distribution is not homogenous, a distinct cluster of hot electrons is not formed. The velocities project radially outwards (Fig. 5). The electrons in the small central cluster have smaller than average kinetic energy. The kinetic energy is fairly shared between the other rings but the distribution between electrons in a particular ring is non-uniform.

The different ordering in two and three dimensions has striking effects: in 3-D the Darwin interactions between neighboring electrons are highly repulsive and the lowering of the energy is provided by the Darwin interactions of distant electrons. Whereas, in the 2-D disk geometry, the Darwin interactions between nearest neighbor electrons lower the Darwin energy.

B. Exponential confinement

In this subsection we describe the results of the simulation of 3-D electron gas confined by an exponential potential

$$V_C = V_0 \exp((r - R_d)/r_W).$$

Here R_d is defined by the relation $N = \frac{4\pi}{3}R_d^3$, and $r_W = 1.5$. The static and nonstatic ground states are again obtained, below and above β_c^2 respectively. Though, in contrast with the harmonic confinement, the β_c^2 decreases with increasing N with the power-law $\beta_c^2 \sim N^{-0.23}$. The values of β_c^2 range from 0.74 for $N = 216$ to 0.50 for $N = 1000$.

The lattice has a ring-like structure again but with some differences. First, the number of rings is smaller: $N = 1000$ system has only 4 rings compared with 7 with harmonic potential. Second, the central cluster is absent. The distribution of kinetic energy is also different from the harmonic case. The kinetic energy per electron increases as one goes outwards and for $N = 1000$ the inner two rings have almost no kinetic energy.

V. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

It would be natural to integrate the supercritical symmetry-breaking states of low-energy and nonzero angular momentum to see the time dependence of the angular momentum [6], but this is not an easy numerical job. The existence of zero and negative eigenvalues of M in (11) signal the onset of complex dynamical behavior for this system: The lagrangian equations of motion that follow from (1) are

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a}_i + \beta^2 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\vec{a}_j + \hat{e}_{ij}(\vec{a}_j \cdot \hat{e}_{ij})}{2r_{ij}} = -\frac{dV_C}{d\vec{r}_i} - \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\hat{e}_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \\ + \beta^2 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{2r_{ij}^2} [(\vec{v}_i \cdot \hat{e}_{ij})\vec{v}_j + (|\vec{v}_j|^2 - 3\vec{v}_j \cdot \hat{e}_{ij} - 2\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j)\hat{e}_{ij}]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Notice that this is an algebraic-differential equation of order zero [10], and the linear matrix sitting on the left side is the same exact matrix M that appeared in (11). The numerical procedure to integrate this equation is delicate: If the matrix has maximal rank, which is the case for low values of β , the integrator RADAU [30] can be used. If the matrix has only one zero eigenvalue, then the integrator DASSL [10] can be used, as long as the matrix does not lose rank along the trajectory, which is the case for rare initial conditions only. The general case where the matrix's rank is lesser than $2N - 1$, or even worse if it loses rank along the trajectory, then one is faced with a rich system which could generate a very complex dynamics. As a matter of fact, in 1976, one of the earliest studies of this many-body system declared it intractable [15] and a coarse grained field approximation was developed to study it, which later became a plasma simulation technique [17]. We could integrate initial conditions with a very low β , and there we found that the angular momentum is an approximate constant, as it should be for the $\beta = 0$ case, according to equation (8). For intermediate values of β we were able to perform the numerical integration of the dynamics using DASSL, and we find that the total angular momentum does not change sign for very long time scales. In the supercritical situation, where it would be of interest to study the dynamics, we find that the time steps of RADAU quickly go to zero due to the criticality of the matrix. An integration method has still to be developed to simulate this interesting

dynamics.

In three dimensions, because of Gauss law, charge neutrality causes the total electrostatic energy to be an extensive quantity. As a matter of fact, our data show that E/N tends to a constant value in 3-D. On the other hand our data for 2-D indicates that the total electrostatic energy is non-extensive.

At constant β^2 the Darwin lowering of energy is smaller for larger N systems in 2-D. This can also be inferred from the observation made in section 3A that the fraction of hot electrons goes down with increasing N . Thus our results indicate that as $\lim N \rightarrow \infty$ at constant electron density the Darwin lowering vanishes. This conclusion holds for harmonically confined systems for which β_c^2 is almost independent of N and hence different sized systems can be compared at constant β^2 .

The magnetic lowering does not decrease with large N in 3-D. This makes the question of a proper thermodynamic limit more subtle. In a certain sense, the Darwin interaction merely renormalizes the electronic charge. But this would interfere with the cancellation of the background charge and hence jeopardize the thermodynamic limit [27]. We feel that more numerical and analytical work is needed to resolve the question of the thermodynamic limit for the Darwin lagrangian.

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge discussions with A. Castelo, F. C. Alcaraz and J. P. Rino.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. De Luca, A. J. Lichtenberg, and M. A. Lieberman, *Chaos*, **5**, 283 (1995).
- [2] J. De Luca, A. J. Lichtenberg, and S. Ruffo, *Finite Times to Equipartition in the Thermodynamic Limit Phys. Rev. E* (1999).
- [3] A. Compagner, C. Brauin and A. Roesle, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 5989 (1989).
- [4] H.A. Posch, H. Narnhofer and W. Thirring *Phys. Rev. A* **42**, 1880 (1990).
- [5] W. Thirring and H.A. Posch, *Phys. Rev. E* **48**, 4333 (1993).
- [6] M. Antoni and S. Ruffo, *Phys. Rev. E*, **52**, 2361 (1995).
- [7] H. Essen, *Phys. Rev E* **53**, 5228 (1996); **56**, 5858 (1997).
- [8] H. Essen, *Phys. Scripta*, **40**, 789 (1989).
- [9] H. Essen, *J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.* **32**, (12) 2297 (1999).
- [10] K.E. Brenan, S. L. Campbell and L. R. Petzold, *Numerical Solution of Initial-Value Problems in Differential-Algebraic Equations*, Elsevier Publishing (1989).
- [11] M. P. Allen and D. J. Tildesley, *Computer Simulation of Liquids*, (Claredon, Oxford, 1987).
- [12] C. G. Darwin, *Philos. Mag.* **39**, 537 (1920).
- [13] G. Breit, *Phys. Rev.* **34**, 553 (1929); **39**, 616 (1932).
- [14] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, second edition, Wiley (1975).
- [15] C. W. Nielson and H. R. Lewis, in *Methods in Computational Physics*, edited by J. Kilean (Academic Press, New York, 1976), Vol. 16, pp. 367-388.
- [16] A. N. Kaufman and T. Soda, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, A1614 (1964).
- [17] D. Q. Ding, L. C. Lee, and D. W. Swift, *J. Geophys. Res.* **92**, 8453 (1992) and references

therein.

- [18] W. Appel and A. Alastuey, *Physica A* **252**, 238 (1998).
- [19] J. De Luca, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 680 (1998); J. De Luca *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 5727 (1998).
- [20] W. Farrell Edwards, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**, 1863 (1981).
- [21] D. H. E. Dubin and T. M. O’Neil, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 87 (1999).
- [22] V. M. Bedanov and F. M. Peeters, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 2667 (1994).
- [23] L. Candido, J.P.Rino, N. Studart and F. Peeters, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, **10**, 11627 (1998).
- [24] J. H. Van Vleck, *The Theory of Electric and Magnetic Susceptibilities*, (Clarendon, Oxford, 1932), Chap IV.
- [25] S. Ichimaru, *Statistical Plasma Physics*, page 6, Addison-Wesley Publishing (1992).
- [26] E. G. Bessonov, *On the Darwin lagrangian*, (Los Alamos archive, physics/9902065).
- [27] D. Ruelle, *Helvetica Physica Acta* **36**, 183 (1963).
- [28] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, *Methods of Theoretical Physics*, Mac Graw-Hill Inc. 1953, part II, page 1267.
- [29] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag (1978).
- [30] E. Hairer and G. Wanner, *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II*, second edition (1996).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The ground-state of harmonically confined disk with $N = 900$ at $\beta^2 = 0.75$. The electrons are located at the tails of the arrows; the length of the arrows is proportional to the magnitude of the electron velocities obtained after quenching. The direction of an arrow gives the angle of the corresponding velocity vector. Scaled coordinates.

FIG. 2. (a) Ground-state energy of harmonically confined disk with $N = 225$ vs. β^2 . The critical β^2 is slightly less than 0.72. (b) Ground-state energy of harmonically confined electron gas in 3-D with $N = 216$ vs. β^2 . The critical β^2 is slightly less than 0.67.

FIG. 3. A higher-energy minimum of harmonically confined disk with $N = 900$ at $\beta^2 = 0.75$. The arrows are made in the manner described in the previous figure.

FIG. 4. The ground-state of exponentially confined electron gas in 2-D at $\beta^2 = 0.45$. The arrows are drawn as in Fig. 1.

FIG. 5. The ground-state for harmonically confined system in three dimensions with $N = 216$ at $\beta^2 = 0.74$. The velocities project radially outwards. Arrows are made in the similar manner to the two-dimensional case.









